

# OptiPrep™ Application Sheet S10

## Isolation of nuclei from animal tissues and cultured cells

- ◆ OptiPrep™ is a 60% (w/v) solution of iodixanol in water: density = 1.32 g/ml
- ◆ **The Axis-Shield Mini-Review “Purification of nuclei from tissues and cells in isoosmotic iodixanol gradients” (MS01)** provides a protocol review and bibliography of all papers reporting the use of OptiPrep™; to access return to the initial list of Folders and select “**Mini-Reviews**”.
- ◆ To access other Application Sheets referred to in the text return to the Subcellular Membranes Index; key Ctrl “F” and type the S-Number in the Find Box.
- ◆ **The methodology has been adapted various plant and invertebrate sources**
- ◆ **This Application Sheet describes a widely used discontinuous iodixanol gradient but simpler density barrier methods have also been used (see Section 4)**

### 1. Background

Earlier methods for purifying nuclei involve pelleting through a 60% sucrose density barrier at 100,000 g for 1-2 h. Not only is the sedimentation of the particles very slow because of the high viscosity of the sucrose barrier, the nuclei become severely dehydrated because of loss of water from their internal space due to the osmotic gradient across the membrane. This process may disrupt the macromolecular structures, which are normally highly hydrated. In 1984 the introduction of Nycodenz®, whose solutions have a much lower viscosity and osmolality than those of sucrose, permitted for the first time, the banding of nuclei at an interface using only 13,000 g for 1 h. A commonly used method is to layer the crude nuclei in 35% Nycodenz® between layers of 20% and 40%, with a 50% cushion; the nuclei sediment to the 40%/50% Nycodenz® interface (the 40% and 50% Nycodenz® solutions are not however isoosmotic). The method, originally worked out for mouse liver [1-3] has been used for gut mucosa [3], HeLa cells [4], ovarian cells [5] and testicular cells [6,7].

Using iodixanol gradients nuclei can now be isolated by isopycnic banding in an iso-osmotic environment [8]. Because the nuclei retain their normal hydration their density is much lower than that in sucrose (1.20-1.22 against >1.32 g/ml) and slightly lower than in Nycodenz®. Buoyant density banding in iodixanol thus requires only 10,000 g for 20 min. The homogenate is adjusted to approx  $\rho = 1.14$  g/ml (25% iodixanol); layered over two solutions of 30% and 35% iodixanol ( $\rho = 1.175$  g/ml and 1.20 g/ml) and centrifuged at 10,000g to band the nuclei at the lower interface. This protocol, designed for mammalian liver, has been applied to many tissue types and to cultured cells; only in a few cases were small modifications required. It has also been applied to plant tissue (see Section 3).

### 2. Mammalian tissues and cultured cells

#### 2a. Solutions required (see Section 2c, Note 1)

- A. OptiPrep™
- B. Diluent: 150 mM KCl, 30 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 120 mM Tricine-KOH, pH 7.8
- C. Working solution containing 50% (w/v) iodixanol: mix 5 vol. of solution A with 1 vol. of solution B
- D. Homogenization Medium: 0.25M Sucrose, 25 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 20 mM Tricine-KOH, pH 7.8
- E. Gradient solutions: Prepare two gradient solutions of 30% and 35% (w/v) iodixanol by diluting solution C with solution D (6 vol. + 4 vol. and 7 vol. + 3 vol. respectively)

Keep the following stock solutions at 4°C:  
 500 mM Tricine; 8.96 g per 100 ml water  
 1 M KCl; 7.45 g per 100 ml water  
 1 M MgCl<sub>2</sub>•6H<sub>2</sub>O; 20.3 g per 100 ml water


Solution B: To 50 ml water add 24 ml, 15 ml and 3 ml respectively of the Tricine, KCl and MgCl<sub>2</sub>•6H<sub>2</sub>O stock solutions; adjust to pH 7.8 with 1 M KOH and make up to 100 ml.

Solution D: Dissolve 8.5 g of sucrose in 50 ml of water; add 4 ml, 2.5 ml and 0.5 ml respectively of the Tricine, KCl and MgCl<sub>2</sub>•6H<sub>2</sub>O stock solutions; adjust to pH 7.8 with 1 M KOH and make up to 100 ml.

## 2b. Protocol

Carry out all operations at 0-4°C

1. Produce an homogenate of the tissue or cell using solution D and use this for Step 2. Alternatively produce a crude nuclear pellet by centrifugation at 1000 *g* for 10 min; resuspend the pellet in solution D and use this for Step 2.
2. Mix equal volumes of the sample (homogenate or resuspended nuclear pellet) and Solution C and transfer 10-15 ml to a suitable centrifuge tube (40-50 ml) for a swinging-bucket rotor of a high-speed centrifuge (see Section 2c, Note 2).



**Figure 1** Isolation of mammalian nuclei in iodixanol gradient

3. Underlayer the sample with 10 ml of the 30% iodixanol and 5-10 ml of the 35% iodixanol; for more information on creating discontinuous gradients see Application Sheet S03.
  4. Centrifuge at 10,000  $g_{av}$  for 20 min (see Section 2c, Notes 3-5).
  5. Collect the band of nuclei at the 30%-35% iodixanol interface (see Figure 1).
- ◆ The 30-35% interface material contains >90% of the total DNA. Phase contrast microscopy shows no discernible contaminants and 95% of the succinate dehydrogenase is recovered in the top layer.

## 2c. Notes

1. Protease inhibitors (PMSF, leupeptin, antipain, aprotinin etc) may be included in any or all of the media at the operator's discretion. The preparation of a working solution of 40% or 50% (w/v) iodixanol as described ensures that the ionic concentration is constant through the gradient. If this is considered unnecessary, the gradient solutions may be prepared simply from OptiPrep™.
2. With a crude nuclear (1000 *g*) pellet, the protocol can be simplified by resuspending the pellet in homogenization medium and adjusting it to 30% iodixanol. After centrifugation the nuclei will form a pellet and contaminating membranes will float to the top. If this approach is used with an homogenate, the pellet of nuclei will tend to be contaminated by peroxisomes.
3. The rapid sedimentation rate of nuclei, compared to that of other particles present, ensures that only the nuclei are able to sediment through the 30% iodixanol layer at the time and rotor speed used. Other particles remain in the sample or at the sample/ 30% iodixanol interface (see Figure 1)
4. With mammalian liver, the protocol can be carried out at *g*-forces as low as 5000 *g* (for 20 min) without any significant reduction in recovery of nuclei.
5. The density and/or the rate of sedimentation of nuclei from other tissues and from cultured mammalian cells may be different to those from mammalian liver. However, the described protocol seems to have a quite wide application; according to the published papers rather few modifications have been required for the satisfactory purification of nuclei from a range of cells and tissues. It may however be necessary to modulate either the centrifugation time or the density of the layers. Some variations are:
  - ◆ CHO cell nuclei banded at a 25%/30% interface, centrifugation time 40 min [9].
  - ◆ Crude nuclear pellet suspended in median 30% iodixanol layer, HeLa [10] and Caco2 [11] cells
  - ◆ Invertebrates: 15-20% (w/v) iodixanol continuous gradient, 100,000*g* for 2h [12-14]
  - ◆ Mouse liver: 12,000 *g* for 2 h [15]
  - ◆ Squirrel/hamster liver: 0-35% (w/v) iodixanol continuous gradient [16,17]

### 3. Plant protoplasts

#### 3a. Background

Xiong et al [18] and Lannoo et al [19] used a slightly modified iodixanol gradient to prepare nuclei from cultured BY2 tobacco cells, but the method almost certainly has a wider application to any lysed plant protoplast preparation. This Application Sheet is not primarily concerned with a detailed description of the method for protoplast preparation, which will probably vary from source to source. A method for the purification of protoplasts from green leaf tissue by flotation through a low-density iodixanol barrier is given in [Application Sheet C18](#). The protocol for protoplast preparation from BY2 cells is clearly detailed by Xiong et al [18]. Once the plant cells had been digested in a mixture of pectolyase, cellulose and driselase and washed, they were isolated by flotation through a 20%, 10%, 0% (w/v) Ficoll 400 gradient (see [Application Sheet C18](#) for an alternative iodixanol gradient).

#### 3b. Solutions required (see Section 3d, Notes 1-2)

- A. OptiPrep™
- B. Lysis buffer: 0.4 M sucrose, 10 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 5 mM EDTA, 10 mM MES-KOH, pH 5.3
- C. OptiPrep™ diluent: 30 mM NaCl, 15 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.3 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 15 mM EDTA, 30 mM MES-KOH, pH 5.3
- D. Iodixanol (40% w/v) Working Solution (WS): mix 4 vol. of OptiPrep™ with 2 vol. of Solution C

Keep the following stock solutions at 4°C:  
 1 M MES; purchased ready-made solution  
 1 M NaCl; 5.84 g per 100 ml water  
 100 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>•6H<sub>2</sub>O; 2.03 g per 100 ml water  
 10 mM DTT; 0.154 g per 100 ml water  
 100 mM EDTA (Na<sub>2</sub>•2H<sub>2</sub>O) 3.72 g per 100 ml water

Solution B: Dissolve 13.7 g of sucrose in 50 ml of water; add 1 ml of MES, 1 ml of NaCl, 5 ml of MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 5 ml of EDTA and 1 ml of DTT stock solutions. Adjust to pH 5.3 with 1 M KOH and make up to 100 ml.

Solution C: Mix 3 ml of MES, 3 ml of NaCl, 15 ml of MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 15 ml of EDTA and 3 ml of DTT stock solutions. Adjust to pH 5.3 with 1 M KOH and make up to 100 ml.

#### 3c. Protocol (adapted from ref 18)

1. Wash the protoplasts in Solution B using 160 g for 5 min to pellet the protoplasts; suspend in Solution B and disrupt by eight passages through a 26G syringe needle.
2. Prepare solutions of 10%, 25%, 30% and 36% (w/v) iodixanol by diluting Solution D with Solution B (1:3, 2.5:1.5, 3:1 and 3.6:0.4 v/v respectively).
3. Prepare a discontinuous iodixanol gradient from 2 ml of each gradient solution; for more information on preparing gradients see [Application Sheet S03](#) (see [Notes 3-6](#)).
4. Layer the lysed protoplast suspension on top (2x10<sup>6</sup> protoplasts per 8 ml gradient) and centrifuge the gradients at 3000 g for 30 min. Harvest the nuclei from the 30%/36% iodixanol interface.

#### 3d. Notes

1. Protease inhibitors may be included in any or all of the media at the operator's discretion. The preparation of a working solution of 40% or 50% (w/v) iodixanol as described ensures that the concentration of ions, EDTA etc is constant through the gradient.
2. Because of the variable hydration of MES powder, use of a commercial MES stock is preferred.
3. Nuclei from wheat-germ have been isolated using 0.4 M sucrose, 25 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 10 mM MES, pH 6.2 as a lysis medium [20]. Gradient solutions of 1.167 and 1.234 g/ml were used (OptiPrep™: lysis medium of 8.5:11.5 and 13.5:6.5 respectively). The osmolality of these solutions is 480-500 mOsm. A crude nuclear suspension (20 ml) was layered over 5 ml each of the gradient solutions. After centrifugation at 5,600 g for 30 min the nuclei band at the lower interface, other organelles at the top interface and the starch granules pellet.
4. As with animal tissues and cells, the density of the gradient layers (and the centrifugation conditions) may also require modulation to optimize the purification for specific types of plant tissue. Nuclei have been isolated from *Sorghum bicolor* leaves (in this study the authors preferred iodixanol to Percoll®) [21] and cow-pea leaves [22]. Preliminary indications suggest that for yeast nuclei it is necessary to increase the density of the bottom layer to at least 1.26 g/ml.

5. For Xanthi protoplasts a simplified gradient of 25% and 36% (w/v) iodixanol was used [23] under the same centrifugation conditions.
6. Liu et al [24] separated a cytoplasmic and nuclear fraction from *Arabidopsis thaliana* by loading an homogenate on top of a two layer gradient of 15% and 45% (w/v) iodixanol. Using 1,500 g for 15 min resolved a cytoplasmic fraction (sample zone) and purified nuclei at the interface of the two iodixanol solutions.

#### 4. Density barrier methods

There are a few examples of the use of a simple density barrier, first reported for HEK cells [25] in which a crude pelleted nuclear fraction was suspended in 30% (w/v) iodixanol and centrifuged at 10,000 g for 10 min; subsequently the pellet was resuspended in the same medium and the centrifugation repeated. The method has been applied with minor variations) to neural progenitor cells [26] carcinoma cells [27] and *Xenopus* embryo [28]. In the latter case the *g*-force used was only 1000 g. Rat brain nuclei have been pelleted through a 20% iodixanol barrier [29] and those from endothelial cells and fibroblasts have been pelleted through 6% iodixanol at 20,000 g for 30 sec [30].

#### 5. References

1. Graham, J., Ford, T. and Rickwood, D. (1990) *Isolation of the major subcellular organelles from mouse liver using Nycodenz gradients without use of an ultracentrifuge* Anal. Biochem., **187**, 318-323
2. Quattrochi, L.C., Mills, A.S., Barwick, J.L., Yockey, C.B. and Guzelian, P.S. (1995) *A novel cis-acting element in a liver cytochrome P450 3A gene confers synergistic induction by glucocorticoids plus antiglucocorticoids* J. Biol. Chem., **270**, 28917-28923
3. Carraway, R.E., Mitra, S.P. and Cochrane, D.E. (2000) *Pro-xenopsin(s) in vesicles of mammalian brain, liver, stomach and intestine is apparently released into blood and cerebral spinal fluid* Regul. Pept., **95**, 115-124
4. Ladner, R.D., McNulty, D.E., Carr, S.A., Roberts, G.D. and Caradonna, S.J. (1996) *Characterization of distinct nuclear and mitochondrial forms of human deoxyuridine triphosphate nucleotidohydrolase* J. Biol. Chem., **271**, 7745-7751
5. Hiscock, D.R., Yanagishita, M. and Hascall, V.C. (1994) *Nuclear localization of glycosaminoglycans in rat ovarian granulosa cells* J. Biol. Chem., **269**, 4539-4546
6. Bläuer, M., Husgafvel, S., Syväälä, H., Tuohimaa, P. And Ylikomi, T. (1999) *Identification of a nuclear localization signal in activin/inhibin  $\beta_A$  subunit; intranuclear  $\beta_A$  in rat spermatogenic cells* Biol. Reprod., **60**, 588-593
7. Furland, N.E., Zanetti, S.R., Oresti, G.M., Maldonado, E.N. and Avelano, M.L. (2007) *Ceramides and sphingomyelins with high proportions of very long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids in mammalian germ cells* J. Biol. Chem., **282**, 18141-18150
8. Graham, J., Ford, T. and Rickwood, D. (1994) *The preparation of subcellular organelles from mouse liver in self-generated gradients of iodixanol* Anal. Biochem., **220**, 367-373
9. Valenzuela, S. M., Martin, D. K., Por, S.B., Robbins, J. M., Warton, K., Bootcov, M. R., Schofield, P. R., Campbell, T. J. and Breit, S. N. (1997) *Molecular cloning and expression of a chloride ion channel of cell nuclei* J. Biol. Chem., **272**, 12575-12582
10. Zippin, J.H., Farrell, J., Huron, D., Kamenetsky, M., Hess, K.C., Fischman, D.A., Levin, L.R. and Buck, J. (2004) *Bicarbonate-responsive "soluble" adenylyl cyclase defines a nuclear camp microdomain* J. Cell Biol., **164**, 527-534
11. Barta, C.A., Sachs-Barrable, K., Feng, F. and Wasan, K.M. (2008) *Effects of monoglycerides on P-glycoprotein: modulation of the activity and expression in Caco-2 cell monolayers* Mol. Pharmaceut., **5**, 863-875
12. Shaw, J.P., Large, A.T., Chipman, J.K., Livingstone, D.R. and Peters, L.D. (2000) *Seasonal variation in mussel *Mytilus edulis* digestive gland cytochrome P4501A- and 2E-immunoidentified protein levels and DNA strand breaks (Comet assay)* Marine Environ. Res., **50**, 405-409
13. Shaw, J.P., Large, A.T., Livingstone, D.R., Doyotte, A., Renger, J., Chipman, J.K. and Peters, L.D. (2002) *Elevation of cytochrome P450-immunopositive protein and DNA damage in mussels (*Mytilus edulis*) transplanted to a contaminated site* Marine Environ. Res., **54**, 505-509
14. Shaw, J.P., Large, A.T., Donkin, P., Evans, S.V., Staff, F.J., Livingstone, D.R., Chipman, J.K. and Peters, L.D. (2004) *Seasonal variation in cytochrome P450 immunopositive protein levels, lipid peroxidation and genetic toxicity in digestive gland of the mussel *Mytilus edulis** Aquatic Tox., **67**, 325-336

15. Zhou, W., Zhang, Y., Hosch, M.S., Lang, A., Zwacka, R.M. and Engelhardt, J.F. (2001) *Subcellular site of superoxide dismutase expression differentially controls AP-1 activity and injury in mouse liver following ischemia/reperfusion* Hepatology, **33**, 902-914
16. Van Breukelen, F. and Martin, S.L. (2002) *Reversible depression of transcription during hibernation* J. Comp. Physiol. B., **172**, 355-361
17. Diaz, M.B., Lange, M., Heldmaier, G. and Klingenspor, M. (2004) *Depression of transcription and translation during daily torpor in the Djungarian hamster (Phodopus sungorus)* J. Comp. Physiol. B, **174**, 495-502
18. Xiong, T. C., Jauneau, A., Ranjeva, R. and Mazars, C. (2004) *Isolated plant nuclei as mechanical and thermal sensors involved in calcium signaling* The Plant J., **40**, 12-21
19. Lannoo, N., Peumans, W.J., Van Pamel, E., Alvarez, R., Xiong, T-C., Hause, G., Mazars, C. and Van Damme, E.J.M. (2006) *Localization and in vitro binding studies suggest that the cytoplasmic/nuclear tobacco lectin can interact in situ with high-mannose and complex N-glyc* FEBS Lett., **580**, 6329-6337
20. Ford, T. C., Baldwin, J. P. and Lambert, S. J. (1998) *Rapid enzyme-free preparation of starch-free nuclei from plants facilitates studies of chromatin structure* Plant Club Ann. Symp. York, UK., Abstr. 54
21. Bedell, J.A., Budiman, M.A., Nunberg, A., Citek, R.W., Robbins, D., Jones, J., Flick, E., Rohlfing, T., Fries, J., Bradford, K., McMenamy, J., Smith, M., Holeman, H., Roe, B.A., Wiley, G., Korf, I.F., Rabinowicz, P.D., Lakey, N., McCombie, W.R., Jeddloh, J.A. and Martienssen, R.A. (2005) *Sorghum genome sequencing by methylation filtration* PLoS Biol **3**:e13
22. Timko, M.P., Rushton, P.J., Laudeman, T.W., Bokowiec, M.T., Chipumuro, E., Cheung, F., Town, C.D. and Chen, X. (2008) *Sequencing and analysis of the gene-rich space of cowpea* BMC Genomics, **9**:103
23. Schouppe, D., Ghesquière, B., Menschaert, G., De Vos, W.H., Bourque, S., Trooskens, G., Proost, P., Gevaert, K. and Van Damme, E.J.M. (2011) *Interaction of the tobacco lectin with histone proteins* Plant Physiol., **155**, 1091–1102
24. Liu, Z., Zhu, Y., Gao, J., Yu, F., Dong, A. and Shen, W-H. (2009) *Molecular and reverse genetic characterization of nucleosome assembly protein1 (NAP1) genes unravels their function in transcription and nucleotide excision repair in Arabidopsis thaliana* Plant J., **59**, 27–38
25. Guilluy, C., Dubash, A.D. and García-Mata, R. (2011) *Analysis of RhoA and Rho GEF activity in whole cells and the cell nucleus* Nat. Protocols **6**, 2050-2060
26. Grindberg, R.V., Yee-Greenbaum, J.L., McConnell, M.J., Novotny, M., O’Shaughnessy, A.L., Lambert, G.M., Araúzo-Bravo, M.J., Lee, J., Fishman, M., Robbins, G.E., Lin, X., Venepally, P., Badger, J.H., Galbraith, D.W., Gage, F.H. and Lasken, R.S. (2013) *RNA-sequencing from single nuclei* Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, **110**, 19802–19807
27. Huff, L.P., DeCristo, M.J., Trembath, D., Kuan, P.F., Yim, M., Liu, J., Cook, D.R., Miller, R., Der, C.J. and Cox, A.D. (2013) *The role of Ect2 nuclear RhoGEF activity in ovarian cancer cell transformation* Genes Cancer, **4**, 460-475
28. Amin, N.M., Greco, T.M., Kuchenbrod, L.M., Rigney, M.M., Chung, M-I., Wallingford, J.B., Cristea, I.M. and Conlon, F.L. (2014) *Proteomic profiling of cardiac tissue by isolation of nuclei tagged in specific cell types (INTACT)* Development, **141**, 962-973
29. Palmowski, P., Rogowska-Wrzesinska, A., Williamson, J., Beck, H.C., Mikkelsen, J.D., Hansen, H.H. and Jensen, O.N. (2014) *Acute phencyclidine treatment induces extensive and distinct protein phosphorylation in rat frontal cortex* J. Proteome Res., **13**, 1578-1592
30. Hahn, A.S. and Desrosiers, R.C. (2013) *Rhesus monkey rhadinovirus uses Eph family receptors for entry into B cells and endothelial cells but not fibroblasts* PLoS Pathog., **9**: e1003360